Anti-Money Laundering Office Notification

Concerning Rules for Designating or Reviewing List of High-Risk Customers Subject to

Close Monitoring under Ministerial Regulation on Customer Due Diligence B.E. 2563 (2020)

Since the customers identified by Anti-Money Laundering Office (AMLO) is regarded as a high risk factor within organization of financial institutions and businesses and professions under Section 16 (reporting entities) and is subjected to close monitoring of account movement and the conduct of transaction which is one of the measures for management of risk of customer for money laundering in accordance with Anti-Money Laundering Office Notification Concerning Guideline for Consideration of Risk Factors of Money-Laundering or Combating the Financing of Terrorism or Proliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction, coupling with emerging issues involving online transaction which is non face-to-face, are more likely to be used in receiving and transferring of proceeds of crime. Despite know your customer (KYC) procedures are already in place, which require reporting entities to identify and verify such customer before conducting a transaction to prevent money laundering, it is still be found that other person's accounts are used for receiving and transferring fund deriving from commission of offences in an attempt to eliminate evidence that links to the offender.

In this regard, AMLO prescribed rules for designating or reviewing list of high-risk customers subject to close monitoring under Ministerial Regulation on Customer Due Diligence B.E. 2563 (2020). The Notification categorizes persons involving with the commission of predicate offences and money laundering offence into 2 groups, referring to as HR-03-1 and HR-03-2 which is input into the AMLO person screening system (APS), aiming to inform reporting entities to use the database for customer due diligence purposes and classifying customers according to their risk. By Virtue of Section 40 (3) (4) and Section 20/1 of Anti-Money Laundering Act B.E. 2542 (1999) in conjunction with Article 9, Article 10, Article 12,

Article 22, Article 23 and Article 25 of Ministerial Regulation on Customer Due Diligence B.E. 2563 (2020). Secretary-General of Anti-Money Laundering Board with the approval of Anti-Money Laundering Board hereby issues this notification. Details appear in the attachment.

Given on 13th December 2022

Theppasu Bovornchotidara

Deputy Secretary-General

Acting Secretary-General of the Anti-Money Laundering Board

Rules for Designating or Reviewing List of High-Risk Customers Subject to Close Monitoring under Ministerial Regulation on Customer Due Diligence B.E. 2563 (2020) with addition concerning the case of third party's account (HR-03-1 and HR-03-2)

Categories	Code	Rules for listing	Rules for de-listing
List of persons	HR-	1. being a person subjected to the petition or complaint	1. lapse of 3 years from the date
involving with a	03-1	involving a predicate offence that inquiry officer accepted	of working group's resolution
commission of a		and numbered as a criminal case or being the owner of bank	to list the person unless there is
predicate		account being used for commission of predicate offence.	probable evidence to de-list
offence or is the		2. the name under 1 is received from a responsible agency	prior to the said time period or
owner of bank		for interrogation under Criminal Procedure Code together	2. the responsible agency
account used for		with electronic files consisting of the following	requesting for de-listing to
commission of		information.	AMLO due to non-commission
predicate		(1) personal information	of predicate offence or non-
offence.		- for a natural person – name-surname, ID number,	involvement with the
		date of birth or other information that can be used to	commission of predicate
And the inquiry		identify the person	offences or there was a verdict
officer accepted		- for a juristic person – name of juristic person and	of criminal case that the said
and numbered		juristic person ID	person was not guilty for a
as a criminal		- for an alien, name-surname, nationality, passport	predicate offence or not
case		number, date of birth or other information that can identify	involving with the commission
		the person	of the predicate offence.
		(2) criminal case number of the agency receiving the	
		complaint /reference number	
		(3) the predicate offence	
		(4) the conduct	
		(5) name of responsible inquiry officer, phone number,	
		name of agency or contact number of contact center	
		(6) current case status	
List of persons	HR-	1. being a person involving with commission of a predicate	1. lapse of 3 years from the date
involving with a	03-2	offence or is the owner of bank account being used for	of working group's resolution
commission of a		commission of predicate offence, but the inquiry officer did	to list the person unless there is
predicate		not accepted and numbered as a criminal case	probable evidence to de-list
offence or is the		2. the name under 1 is received from a responsible agency.	prior to the said time period or
owner of bank		2.1 Royal Thai Police: that the examination revealed	2. the responsible agency
account used for		that person involved with commission of a predicate	requesting for de-listing to
commission of		offence or being the owner of bank account being used for	AMLO due to non-commission
predicate		commission of predicate offence.	of predicate offence or non-
offence.		2.2 Financial Investigation Division, AMLO: that the	involvement with the
		being a person relating to the commission of a predicate	commission of predicate
But the inquiry		offence or being the owner of bank account used for the	offences or there was a verdict
officer did not		commission of a predicate offence or	of criminal case that the said
accept and		2.3 Financial Investigation Division, AMLO: that the	person was not guilty for a
numbered as a		examination revealed that the person was reported for their	predicate offence or not
criminal case		suspicious transaction (form AMLO 1-03) and matches the	involving with the commission
		name of person received from the responsible agencies for	of the predicate offence.
		investigation under Criminal Procedure Code	_
		2.4 Cooperation and Standard Development Division,	
		AMLO: that the examination of information received from	
		foreign counterparts revealed that the person involved with	
		commission of a predicate offence or being the owner of	
		The state of the s	

Categories	Code	Rules for listing	Rules for de-listing
		bank account being used for commission of predicate	
		offence.	
		2.5 a bank or an external agency: that the examination	
		revealed that the person involved with commission of a	
		predicate offence or being the owner of bank account being	
		used for commission of predicate offence and the report	
		was submitted to AMLO for the Financial Intelligence	
		Division, AMLO to examine in accordance with 2.3	
		Together with electronic files of the following	
		information.	
		(1) personal information	
		- for a natural person – name-surname, ID number,	
		date of birth or other information that can be used to	
		identify the person	
		- for a juristic person – name of juristic person and	
		juristic person ID	
		- for an alien, name-surname, nationality, passport	
		number, date of birth or other information that can identify	
		the person	
		(2) criminal case number of the agency receiving the	
		complaint /reference number	
		(3) the predicate offence	
		(4) the conduct	
		(5) name of responsible inquiry officer, phone number,	
		name of agency or contact number of contact center	
		(6) current case status	
Dama	1		

Remarks:

List of persons involved with commission of a predicate offence, or being the owner of bank account being used for commission of a predicate offence under code HR-03 can be categorized into 2 groups as follows;

- 1) HR-03-1, a bank shall prescribe measures limiting the access to electronic transaction immediately and re-classify the customer's risk level to high, and conduct enhanced due diligence (EDD) by requiring the customer to see a bank's staff and providing additional information for EDD purpose. If the examination found that the information and evidence could clarify that any specific bank account did not involve with commission of a predicate offence, the bank can resume the access to electronic transaction only for such account. However, there is no prohibition to conduct a face-to-face transaction with such customer, but the bank shall have in place appropriate risk management measures for such customer during the period that the customer is in the high-risk list, and if there is a suspicious transaction, the bank shall consider making suspicious transaction report to AMLO.
- 2) HR-03-2, a bank shall re-classify the customer's risk level to high, and conduct enhanced due diligence (EDD) by requiring the customer to see a bank's staff and providing additional information for EDD purpose within 3 days (from the date of notification). If the examination found that the information and evidence could clarify that any specific bank account did not involve with commission of a predicate offence, the bank can resume the access to electronic transaction only for such account. But if the customer did not present, or provide information or evidence within the specified time, the bank shall limit the access to electronic transaction. However, there is no prohibition to conduct a face-to-face transaction with such customer, but the bank shall have in place appropriate risk management measures for such customer during the period that the customer is in the high-risk list, and if there is a suspicious transaction, the bank shall consider making suspicious transaction report to AMLO.

If the customer has any inquiry about transaction conduct, please contact the contact center.